Peer-peer Computing & Networking

CS 475

1

Peer-peer computing and networking



2

Peer-peer network

Focus at the application level



3

Peer-to-Peer: Some Definitions

- A P2P computer network refers to any network that does not have fixed clients and servers, but a number of peer nodes that function as both clients and servers to other nodes on the network.
  Wikipedia.org
- The sharing of computer resources and services by direct exchange between systems
  Intel P2P working group
- The use of devices on the internet periphery in a non-client capacity
  Alex Weytsel, Aberdeen Group
- P2P is a class of applications that takes advantage of resources – storage, cycles, content, human presence – available at the edges of the internet.
  Clay Shirky, openp2p.com

4

Peer-peer applications

- File sharing
  - Napster, Gnutella, KaZaa
  - Second generation projects
    - Oceanstore, PAST, Freehaven
- Distributed Computation
  - SETI@home, Entropia, Parabon, United Devices, Popular Power
- Other Applications
  - Content Distribution (BitTorrent)
  - Instant Messaging (Jabber), Anonymous Email
  - Groupware (Groove)
  - P2P Databases

5

Is Peer-to-peer new?

- P2P concept certainly not new
  - Usenet - News groups first truly decentralized system
  - DNS - Handles huge number of clients
  - Basic IP - Vastly decentralized, many equivalent routers
- What is new?
  - Scale: people are envisioning much larger scale
  - Security: Systems must deal with privacy and integrity
  - Anonymity: Protect identity and prevent censorship
  - (In)Stability: Deal with unstable components at the edges

6

P2P: Related Technologies

- Distributed computing.
  - How is P2P different from distributed computing?
- Grid computing.
  - How is the computational grid different from P2P networks?

  KEY DIFFERENCES: Peers are on the edges of the Internet, are autonomous, have variable connectivity, and temporary network addresses
- Application-level networking.
  - Resilient overlay networks for multicast, video distribution, etc.

7

P2P: Related Technologies

- Wireless ad-hoc networks.
- Sensor networks.
- P2P devices/ubiquitous computing.
  - JINI.
- Web services.
  - .NET framework, SOAP, UDDI
- Cloud computing
  - Software as a service
  - Computing as a utility

8

Why the hype???

- File Sharing: Napster (+Gnutella, KaZaa, etc)
  - High coolness factor
  - Served a high-demand niche: online jukebox
- Anonymity/Privacy/Anarchy: FreeNet, Publis, etc
  - Libertarian dream of freedom
  - Extremely valid concern of Censorship/Privacy
  - In search of copyright violators, RIAA challenging rights to privacy
- Computing: The Grid
  - Scavenge the numerous free cycles of the world to do work
  - Seti@Home most visible version of this
- Industry/Management
  - Looking for the next big thing
  - A lot of interest/hype in "autonomic computing"/Computing as a utility

9

P2P Applications Taxonomy

- Content and File Sharing
  - Napster, Gnutella, KaZaa, etc.
  - Most research has focused on this class of apps
- Parallelizable
  - Compute Intensive (Same task on every peer using different parameters)
  - Componentized applications – different components on each peer (not yet widely supported/recognized)
- Collaborative
  - Instant messaging, groupware, games
  - Many startups but not that much academic research

10

P2P file sharing

Example

- Alice runs P2P client application on her notebook computer
- Intermittently connects to Internet; gets new IP address for each connection
- Asks for "Hey Jude"
- Application displays other peers that have copy of Hey Jude.
- Alice chooses one of the peers, Bob.
- File is copied from Bob's PC to Alice's notebook: HTTP
- While Alice downloads, other users uploading from Alice.
- Alice's peer is both a Web client and a transient Web server.

All peers are servers = highly scalable!

11

P2P Content Location & Routing

- Three approaches
  - Centralized directory (Napster)
  - Decentralized directory + Flooding-based search (Gnutella)
    - Unstructured P2P systems
  - Distributed Hash Tables (DHT) based document search and publication
    - Structured P2P systems (Chord, CAN, Tapestry, etc)
    - Not discussed in this class

12

P2P: centralized directory

original "Napster" design

1) when peer connects, it informs central server:
   - IP address
   - content
2) Alice queries for "Hey Jude"
3) Alice requests file from Bob



13

P2P: problems with centralized directory

- Single point of failure
- Performance bottleneck
- Copyright infringement

file transfer is decentralized, but locating content is highly centralized

14

Napster

- program for sharing files over the Internet
- a killer application?
- history:
  - 5/99: Shawn Fanning (freshman, Northeasten U.) founds Napster Online music service
  - 12/99: first lawsuit
  - 3/00: 25% UWisc traffic Napster
  - 2000: est. 60M users
  - 2/01: US Circuit Court of Appeals: Napster knew users violating copyright laws
  - 7/01: # simultaneous online users:
    Napster 160K, Gnutella: 40K, Morpheus: 300K
  - 2001: Napster shut down; Bertelsmann acquire assets, etc.
- 2004
  - Napster 2.0 music download service (Roxio)
  - Also OpenNap (open source napster server)

15

Napster: how did it work

Application-level, client-server protocol over point-to-point TCP

Four steps:

- Connect to Napster server
- Upload your list of files (push) to server.
- Give server keywords to search the full list with.
- Select "best" of correct answers. (pings)

16

Napster

1. File list is uploaded



17

Napster

2. User requests search at server.



18

Napster

3. User pings hosts that apparently have data.

   Looks for best transfer rate.



19

Napster

4. User retrieves file



20

Napster: architecture notes

- centralized server:
  - single logical point of failure
  - can load balance among servers using DNS rotation
  - potential for congestion
- no security:
  - passwords in plain text
  - no authentication
  - no anonymity

21

P2P: decentralized directory

- Each peer is either a group leader or assigned to a group leader.
- Group leader tracks the content in all its children.
- Peer queries group leader; group leader may query other group leaders.



22

More about decentralized directory

overlay network

- peers are nodes
- edges between peers and their group leaders
- edges between some pairs of group leaders
- virtual neighbors

bootstrap node

- connecting peer is either assigned to a group leader or designated as leader

advantages of approach

- no centralized directory server
  - location service distributed over peers
  - more difficult to shut down

disadvantages of approach

- bootstrap node needed
- group leaders can get overloaded

23

P2P: Query flooding

- Gnutella
- no hierarchy
- use bootstrap node to learn about others
- join message



- Send query to neighbors
- Neighbors forward query
- If queried peer has object, it sends message back to querying peer



24

P2P: more on query flooding

Pros

- peers have similar responsibilities: no group leaders
- highly decentralized
- no peer maintains directory info

Cons

- excessive query traffic
- query radius: may not have content when present
- bootstrap node
- maintenance of overlay network

25

Gnutella

- peer-to-peer networking: applications connect to peer applications
- focus: decentralized method of searching for files
- each application instance serves to:
  - store selected files
  - route queries (file searches) from and to its neighboring peers
  - respond to queries (serve file) if file stored locally
- Gnutella history:
  - 3/14/00: release by AOL, almost immediately withdrawn
  - too late
  - many iterations to fix poor initial design (poor design turned many people off)
- What we care about:
  - How much traffic does one query generate?
  - how many hosts can it support at once?
  - What is the latency associated with querying?
  - Is there a bottleneck?

26

Gnutella: how it works

Searching by flooding:

- If you don't have the file you want, query 7 of your partners.
- If they don't have it, they contact 7 of their partners, for a maximum hop count of 10.
- Requests are flooded, but there is no tree structure.
- No looping but packets may be received twice.
- Reverse path forwarding

Note: Play gnutella animation at:
http://www.limewire.com/index.jsp/p2p

27

Flooding in Gnutella: loop prevention



Seen already list: "A"

28

Distributed Computing

- Current supercomputers are too expensive
  - ASCI White (#1 in TOP500) costs more than $110 million and needed a new building
  - Few institutions or research groups can afford this level of investment
- There are more than 500 million PCs around the world
  - some as powerful as early 90s supercomputers
  - they are idle most of the time (60% to 90%), even when being used (spreadsheet, typing, printing,...)
  - corporations and institutions have hundreds or thousands of PCs on their networks

Try to harness idle PCs on a network and use them on computationally intensive problems

29

How it works

- Embarrassingly parallel applications
  - Large computation to communication ratio
  - Master/worker model
  - Applications can use local disk for checkpointing
- Provider farms out work to idle PCs across the internet
  - PC owners volunteer idle cycles (for money or altruistic purposes)

30

SETI @ home project

setiathome.ssl.berkeley.edu

- SETI = Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence
- Started in 1996 to enlist PCs to work on analyzing data from the Arecibo radio telescope
- Good mix of popular appeal and good technology

⇨

- Now running on more than ½ million PCs
- delivering ~ 1,200 CPU years per day
- ~ 35 Tflops/sec
- fastest (but special-purpose) computer in the world

31

Readings

- P2P Survey article on class Blackboard page

32