

lifei@cs.gmu.edu with subject: CS483

http://www.cs.gmu.edu/~ lifei/teaching/cs483_fall07/

Part of the slides is based on the book "Algorithms" by S. Dasgupta, C. Papadimitriou, and U. Vazirani.



Primality testing

> Cryptography

> Universal hashing

Factoring vs. Primality

- > FACTORING: Given a number N, express it as a product of its prime factors.
- \succ PRIMALITY: Given a number N, determine whether it is a prime.

Factoring vs. Primality

> FACTORING: Given a number N, express it as a product of its prime factors.

The fastest method for factoring a number N takes time exponential in the number of bits $N. \label{eq:stars}$

 \succ PRIMALITY: Given a number N, determine whether it is a prime.

There are efficient algorithms for PRIMALITY.

This strange disparity between these 2 intimately related problems lies the heart of current secure communication. **Basic Arithmetic**

- > Modular arithmetic: How do we handle numbers that are significantly large?
- > $x \mod N$: The remainder when x is divided by N. $r = x \mod N$ if $x = q \cdot N + r$ with $0 \le r < N$.
- $\succ x$ are y are congruent modulo N if they differ by a multiple of N.

$$x \equiv y \pmod{N} \Leftrightarrow N \text{ divides } (x - y).$$

E.g. $253 \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$. 253 minutes is 4 hours and 13 minutes. $59 \equiv -1 \pmod{60}$.

Basic Arithmetic

➤ Modular arithmetic: Modular arithmetic deals with all the integers, but divides N equivalent classes, each of the form $\{i + k \cdot N, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for some i between 0 and N - 1.

➤ Some rules

- If $x\equiv x' \ ({\rm mod}\ N)$ and $y\equiv y' \ ({\rm mod}\ N),$ then

 $x + y \equiv x' + y' \pmod{N}$ and $x \cdot y \equiv x' \cdot y' \pmod{N}$.

- Associatively: $x + (y + z) \equiv (x + y) + z \pmod{N}$.
- Commutativity: $x \cdot y \equiv y \cdot x \pmod{N}$.
- Distributively: $x \cdot (y+z) \equiv x \cdot y + x \cdot z \pmod{N}$.



Exercises

- $\succ 2^{345} \equiv ? \pmod{31}.$
- $\succ 2^{345} \equiv (2^5)^{69} \equiv (32)^{69} \equiv 1^{69} \equiv 1 \; (\bmod 31).$
- > Consider the question: compute $x^y \mod N$ for values of x, y, and N that are several hundreds bits long.

Can this be done quickly?

If x and y are 20-bits, how long the size of x^y ? $(2^{19})^{2^{19}} = 2^{19 \cdot 524288}$, about 10 million bits long.

Modular Exponentiation

Compute $x^y \mod N$ for values of x, y, and N that are several hundreds bits long.

$$x \mod N \to x^2 \mod N \to x^3 \mod N \to \dots \to x^y \mod N.$$

If y is 500 bits long, we need to perform $y - 1 \approx 2^{500}$ multiplications.

> An alternative approach:

 $x \mod N \to x^2 \mod N \to x^4 \mod N \to \dots \to x^{2^{\lfloor \log y \rfloor}} \mod N$. Each takes $O(\log^2 N)$ time to compute, and there are only $\log y$ multiplications.

$$x^y = (x^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor})^2$$
 if y is even.
 $x^y = x \cdot (x^{\lfloor y/2 \rfloor})^2$ if y is odd.

Let n be the largest size in bits of x, y, and N. Running time: $O(n^3)$.

Extension of Euclid Algorithm

- > Assume the instructor of CS483 claims that d is the greatest common divisor of a and b, how can we check this?
- > Lemma: If d divides both a and b, and $d = a \cdot x + b \cdot y$ for some integers of x and y, then necessarily d = gcd(a, b).

> Proof:

- 1. $d \leq gcd(a, b)$.
- 2. gcd(a,b) must divide $a \cdot x + b \cdot y$. So, gcd(a,b) divides d, $gcd(a,b) \leq b$.

► Example:
$$gcd(13, 4) = 1$$
 since $13 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot (-3) = 1$.

Extension of Euclid Algorithm

- > Input: 2 positive integers a and b. with $a \ge b \ge 0$.
- > Output: Integers x, y, and d such that d = gcd(a, b) and $a \cdot x + b \cdot y = d$.

```
Algorithm 0.1: EXT-GCD(a, b)
```

```
\quad \text{if } b = 0 \quad
```

```
\operatorname{return}\left((1,0,a)\right)
```

else

$$\begin{array}{l} (x',y',d) = \mathtt{ext} - \mathtt{gcd}(b,a \bmod b) \\ \mathtt{return} \left((y',x' - \lfloor a/b \rfloor y',d) \right) \end{array} \end{array}$$

> **Proof**: mathematical induction.

Modular division

> In real arithmetic, every number $a \neq 0$ has an inverse 1/a.

> x is the multiplicative inverse of a modulo N if $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.

> **Example**: Compute $11^{-1} \mod 25$.

(1.) Use extended Euclid algorithm, $15 \cdot 25 - 34 \cdot 11 = 1$.

(2.) Reduce both sides modulo 25, we have $-34 \cdot 11 \equiv 1 \mod 25$. So,

 $-34 \equiv 16 \mod 25$ is the inverse of $11 \mod 25$.

> gcd(a, N) divides $ax \mod N$ because gcd(a, N) = ax + Ny.
If gcd(a, N) > 1, $ax \neq 1 \mod N$. a cannot have a multiplicative inverse modulo N.

Modular Division Theorem

- > For any $a \mod N$, a has a multiplicative inverse modulo N if and only if it is relatively prime to N.
- > When this inverse exists, it can be found in time $O(n^3)$ (where as usual n denotes the number of bits of N) by running the the extended Euclid algorithm.



> Primality testing

Cryptography

> Universal hashing

Primality Testing

> Fermat's Little Theorem

If p is a prime, then for every $1 \le a < p$,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \; (\mathrm{mod} \; p).$$

> Proof.

The numbers $a \cdot i \pmod{p}$ are distinct because if $a \cdot i \equiv a \cdot j \pmod{p}$, then, dividing both sides by a gives $i \equiv j \pmod{p}$.

$$S = \{1,2,\ldots,p-1\} = \{a \cdot 1 \bmod p, a \cdot 2 \bmod p, \ldots, a \cdot (p-1) \bmod p\}$$

$$(p-1)! \equiv a^{p-1} \cdot (p-1)! \pmod{p}.$$

Fermat's Test

 $\succ \text{ If } a^{N-1} \equiv 1 \bmod N?$

 ${\rm Pass:} \ N \ {\rm is \ a \ prime.} \\$

Fail: N is a composite.

➤ Lemma If $a^{N-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for some a relatively prime to N, then, it must hold for at least half the choices of a < N.

Proof: Fix some value of a for which $a^{N-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. Every b < N that passes Fermat's test with respect to N has a twin $a \cdot b$ that fails the test

$$(a \cdot b)^{N-1} \equiv a^{N-1} \cdot b^{N-1} \equiv a^{N-1} \not\equiv 1 \bmod N.$$

➢ Pick positive integers a₁, a₂, ..., a_k < N at random</p>
If $a_i^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k, then, output Y, else output N.
The error of N is not a prime is low: $\frac{1}{2^k}$.

Cryptography

- \succ Alice sends msg x to Bob.
- $\succ x \to e(x).$ $\succ x \leftarrow d(e(x)).$
- > e(x) to eavesdropper Eve.
- > Ideally, e(.) is chosen that without knowing d(.).



CS483 Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Public Key Cryptography

- Public-key cryptography: anybody can send a message to anybody else using publicly available information.
- Each person has a public key known to the whole world and a secret key known only to him- or herself.
- > When Alice wants to send message x to Bob, she encodes it using Bob's public key. Bob decrypts it using his secret key.
- > Approach: Think of messages from Alice to Bob as numbers modulo N.

Public Key Cryptography

- ➤ Property: Pick any 2 primes p and q and let $N = p \cdot q$. For any e relatively prime to $(p 1) \cdot (q 1)$.
 - 1. The mapping $x \to x^e \mod N$ is a bijection on $\{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$.
 - 2. The inverse mapping is easily realized. Let d be the inverse of $e \mod(p-1) \cdot (q-1)$. Then, $\forall x \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$.

$$(x^e)^d \equiv x \bmod N.$$

- > The first property says $x \to x^e \mod N$ is a reasonable way to encode x, given (N, e) is Bob's public key.
- > Bob uses d to decrypt x.

Proof of RSA

- 1. (2.) implies (1.) since the mapping is invertible.
- 2. e is invertible module $(p-1)\cdot(q-1)$ because e is relatively prime to this number.
- 3. $e \cdot d \equiv 1 \mod (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$, then, $e \cdot d = 1 + k \cdot (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$ for some k. Show

$$x^{e \cdot d} - x = x^{1+k \cdot (p-1) \cdot (q-1)} - x$$

is always $0 \ \mathrm{modulo} \ N.$

Since p and q are primes, using Fermat's theorem, we can prove above statement as this expression is divisible by the produce p and q.



 \succ RSA

- Bob picks up 2 large prime numbers p and q. His public key is (N, e), where $N = p \cdot q$ and e is relatively prime to $(p - 1) \cdot (q - 1)$. Bob's secret key is d, the inverse of e modulo $(p - 1) \cdot (q - 1)$. (Use extended Euclid algorithm to get d).
- Alice sends Bob $y = x^e \mod N$. (Use efficient modular exponentiation algorithm.)
- Bob decodes x by computing $y^d \mod N$.

```
> Basic
```

- a. Given N, e, and $y = x^e \mod N$, it is computational intractable to determine x.
- b. FACTORING is HARD.