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## Transmission Errors

$\square$ Causes: noises, attenuation, distortion, crosstalk, losing synchronization
-Error detection

- Parity checks, cyclic redundancy codes, ...
-Error correction
- send redundant information with data
- when receiving data incorrectly, the receiver makes "educated guess" about the original data
- Ex. Hamming code


## Parity Checks

$\square$ Add an extra bit to a string of bits in order to make the total number of 1's even (even parity) or odd (odd parity)

- Example (even parity): $01101101 \underline{1}$
$\square$ Advantages
- detects any single bit error
- in fact, detects any error involving odd number of bits
$\square$ Disadvantages
- only $50 \%$ chance of detecting burst errors
- an $n$-bit burst error is a string of bits inverted during transmission


## Cyclic Redundancy Codes (CRC)

$\square$ Basic idea: treat string of bits as coefficients of a polynomial that uses modulo 2 arithmetic

- Ex. 101001 represents $x^{5}+x^{3}+1$.

I Additions and subtractions are equivalent to Exclusive-OR:
10011

+110011 $\quad$| 1 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Method

$\square$ Sender:

- divide string (frame) by a generator polynomial $G(x)$
- tag the remainder (called a checksum) onto the frame when it is transmitted
$\square$ Receiver:
- divide the entire frame by $G(x)$
- a non-zero remainder indicates errors
$\square$ Example:
-data: 1010001101, G(x): 110101

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\
\hline & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\
\hline & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \\
\hline & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Transmitted data:
101000110101110 6-bit generator produces 5-bit remainder

## How CRC Works?

- $D(x)$ : data
- $D^{\prime}(x)$ : data with appended 0 s
- Let $D^{\prime}(x)=P(x) G(x)+R(x)$
$\square T(x)$ : transmitted bits; must be a multiple of $G(x)$

| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $D^{\prime}(x)$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |$| R(x)$.

$\square$ Suppose the received bits $R(x)=T(x)$, then $G(x)$ also divides $R(x)$.
To detect errors, the receiver tests if $G(x) \mid R(x)$.

## When Does CRC Fail?

$\square E(x)$ : error bits
Transmitted: 11010101010001111
$+\quad$ Errors: 00001000111000100

That is, $R(x)=T(x)+E(x)$
$\square R(x)$ is accepted by the receiver if $G(x) \mid R(x)$

- Hence, what is the relationship between $G(x)$ and $E(x)$ to cause the CRC to fail ?


## Example of a CRC Failure

- From the earlier example:
$-\mathrm{G}(x)=x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{2}+1 \quad(110101)$
- D(x): 1010001101
$-\mathrm{T}(x): 1 \begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array} 0$
$-\mathrm{E}(x): \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1$
$-\mathrm{R}(x): 1 \begin{array}{lllllllllllllll} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
- Please check that $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}) *\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+1\right)$
- You can check for yourself that $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x})$ will be (incorrectly) accepted by the receiver.


## Generators Are Not Born Equal

-If $G(x)$ contains two or more terms, then it can detect all single bit errors.

- Why?
$\square$ detects all double errors if
$-x$ does not divide $G(x)$, and
$-G(x)$ does not divide $x^{k}+1$ for any $k<K$ where $K$ is the frame length
Why?
$\square$ detects all odd errors if $G(x)$ contains $x+1$ as a factor. Why?


## Other CRC Properties

-If $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ satisfies all the above properties, then

- all burst errors of length $r$ or less are detected, where $r$ is the degree of $G(x)$,
- burst errors of length $r+1$ are missed with probability $1 / 2^{r-1}$
- burst errors of length $r+2$ or more are missed with probability $1 / 2^{r}$


## Common Generators

-CRC-8: $x^{8}+x^{2}+x+1$ (used with ATM)
-CRC-CCITT: $x^{16}+x^{12}+x^{5}+1$ (used with HDLC)

- catch all single, double, and odd errors
- catch all burst errors of length of 16 or less
- catch $99.997 \%$ of burst errors of length 17
- catch $99.998 \%$ of length 18 or more
- CRC -32: $x^{32}+x^{26}+x^{23}+x^{22}+x^{16}+x^{12}+$ $x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{2}+x+1$ (used with Ethernet)


## Error Correcting Codes

$\square$ Frame consists of $m$ data bits and $r$ check bits.
$\square$ The resulting $n=m+r$ bit unit is called a codeword.
-The number of bits by which two codewords differ is called the Hamming Distance.
$\square$ To detect $d$-bit errors, we need distance of $d+$ 1 between any pair of codewords.

- codewords with single parity bit have a minimum distance of 2
$\square$ To correct $d$-bit errors, we need distance of $2 d$ +1 .


## An Example

$\square$ Consider a (inefficient) coding scheme where each bit is simply repeated three times.

| 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 001 | 000 | 000 | 111 |
| 010 | 000 | 111 | 000 |
| 011 | 000 | 111 | 111 |
| 100 | 111 | 000 | 000 |
| 101 | 111 | 000 | 111 |
| 110 | 111 | 111 | 000 |
| 111 | 111 | 111 | 111 |

The minimum Hamming distance among the above codewords is 3 .
$\square$ This scheme can detect any 2-bit errors.

## A Naïve Error Correction Method

-The above scheme can also be used to correct 1-bit errors.
$\square$ When receiving an invalid codeword, we assume that the original data is the closest, valid codeword.


## To Correct 1-Bit Errors

Deach of the $2^{m}$ legal codewords must have $n$ +1 bit patterns dedicated to it.
$\square$ that is, $(\mathrm{n}+1) 2^{m}<=2^{n}$
$\square$ divide both sides by $2^{m}$ to obtain
$(m+r+1) \leq 2^{r}$
-Examples:

- 11 data bits, how many check bits ?
- 16 data bits, how many check bits ?
- 32 data bits, how many check bits ?


## Hamming Codes

Achieves the theoretical lower bound of check bits.
$\square$ number bits 1 to $n$
$\square$ power-of-2 positions are check bits
$\square$ the value of each check bit $2^{k}$ depends on the parity of the bits whose label contains that $2^{k}$ when written as the sum of powers of 2 .
$\square$ to find out the incorrect bit, determine if check bits are correct
$\square$ add $2^{k}$ to a counter $c$ if the check bit is one of the wrong parity.
in the end, if $c=0$, then it gives the position of the incorrect bit.

## Example

original data: 1010110
codeword: $\underline{0} \underline{1} \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \underline{1}\end{aligned} 0$


00102
00113
$0100 \quad 4$
01015
$0110 \quad 6$
01117
10008
10019
101010
101111

## Error Correction in Action



Parity checks:
$2^{0}=1$, fail
$2^{1}=2$, pass
$2^{2}=4$, fail
We have: 0 1 $\boldsymbol{0} \quad \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{5}$
$2^{3}=8$, pass

## Discussion

-In a nutshell, error correction technologies are educated guests on the part of the receiver.
$\square$ Error corrections are typically used by applications that

- can tolerate occasional errors
- but cannot tolerate the delays of data retransmissions
$\square$ Example: multimedia playback

